

AN INTRODUCTION TO MOTIVIC INTEGRATION THEORY

CONTENTS

1. History of motivic integration : <u>Why?</u>	1
2. Arcs spaces : <u>What we will measure</u>	2
2.1. Variety $n - jets$ of X (Greenberg)	2
2.2. Truncation maps	2
2.3. Arc space of X	3
3. Additive invariants : <u>what interests us</u>	3
4. Grothendieck rings : <u>Values of the measure</u>	3
5. Motivic measure	4
5.1. Constructible or cylinder subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$	4
5.2. Stable subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$	4
5.3. Non stable constructible subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$: completion of \mathcal{M}_k !	5
5.4. Integrable function	5
5.5. Change variable formula	5
5.6. Comparison with p -adic integration	6
6. Proof's of Kontsevich theorem	6
References	7

These pages are the notes of a talk given at the Catholic University of Leuven on October 13', 2010.

For wonderful surveys about this subject, look at [5], [7], [9] and [10].

1. HISTORY OF MOTIVIC INTEGRATION : WHY ?

The story starts with a theorem coming from strings theory :

Theoreme 1.1 (Batyrev, 95', [1]). *Let X and Y be two Calabi-Yau varieties (complex algebraic varieties, smooth and proper which admit a non vanishing regular differential form of maximal degree). If X and Y are birationally equivalent then X and Y have the same Betti numbers :*

$$\forall i \geq 0, \text{rank } H^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}) = \text{rank } H^i(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. It uses :

- (1) Hironaka's theorem,
- (2) p -adic integration and its change variables formula,
- (3) Weil conjectures,
- (4) Comparison theorem between l -adic Betti numbers and usual Betti numbers.

□

After that :

- Kontsevich (December 7, 95') at Orsay, explained a direct approach, avoiding p -adic integration and Weil conjectures but involving arc spaces : *motivic integration*.

He showed more : X and X' have the same Hodge numbers, $h^{p,q}(X)$ (where $h^{p,q}(X)$ is the dimension of $H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$).

- Denef-Loeser (99') [4] constructed a motivic integration theory on arbitrary (in particular singular) algebraic varieties on a field k (car $k=0$).
- Loeser-Sebag (03) [8] constructed a motivic integration theory on formal schemes and rigid varieties (for an arbitrary complete discrete valuation ring with perfect residue field).
- Cluckers-Loeser (08) [3] and differently Hrushowski-Kazhdan (06) [6] constructed a general framework for motivic integration based on model theory.

2. ARCS SPACES : WHAT WE WILL MEASURE

Let k be a field, car $k=0$.

Let X be a *variety* = separated and reduced scheme of finite type over k .

2.1. Variety n -jets of X (Greenberg). For all $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ the k -variety which represents the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k\text{-alg} & \rightarrow & \underline{Set} \\ R & \mapsto & Hom_{k\text{-scheme}}(\underline{Spec}(R[t]/t^{n+1}), X) \end{array}$$

Note that "the base extension operation" $Y \mapsto Y \times_k k[t]/t^{n+1}$ is a covariant functor and it has a right adjoint $X \mapsto \mathcal{L}_n(X)$.

- $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ is called *n -jets of X* .
- For all field K containing k : $\mathcal{L}_n(X)(K) = X(K[t]/t^{n+1})$.

Example 2.1. Let X be an affine variety :

$$X = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1(x) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x) = 0 \end{array} \right. , \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_l).$$

$\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ is given by the equations in variables $\vec{a}_0, \dots, \vec{a}_n$ expressing that $f_i(\vec{a}_0 + \dots + \vec{a}_n t^n) = 0 \text{ mod } t^{n+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Example 2.2. $X = \mathbb{C}^d$, $\mathcal{L}_n(X) = \{(a_0^{(1)} + \dots + a_n^{(1)} t^n, \dots, a_0^{(d)} + \dots + a_n^{(d)} t^n) \mid a_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d(n+1)}$.

Example 2.3. Cusp :

- $X = \{y^2 - x^3 = 0\}$
- $\mathcal{L}_0(X)(\mathbb{C}) = \{(a_0, b_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid b_0^2 = a_0^3\} = X(\mathbb{C})$
- $\mathcal{L}_1(X)(\mathbb{C}) = \{(a_0 + b_1 t, b_0 + b_1 t) \in (\mathbb{C}[t]/t^2)^2 \mid (b_0 + b_1 t)^2 = (a_0 + a_1 t)^3 \text{ mod } t^2\}$

which implies two equations $\begin{cases} b_0^2 = a_0^3 \\ 2b_0 b_1 = 3a_0^2 a_1 \end{cases}$.

Note that $\mathcal{L}_1(X)(\mathbb{C}) \simeq TX(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 2.4. Let X be an algebraic variety, we always have these isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{L}_0(X) \simeq X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_1(X) \simeq TX$$

2.2. Truncation maps. For all $n \geq m$, there is a natural map induced by reducing modulo t^{m+1} and called *truncation map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{L}_n(X) & \\ \pi_m^n & \downarrow & \\ & \mathcal{L}_m(X) & \end{array}$$

2.3. Arc space of X . We obtained in this way a projective system and we call *arc space of X* the projective limit

$$\mathcal{L}(X) := \varprojlim \mathcal{L}_n(X).$$

It's a reduced separated scheme over k , but not (in general) of finite type over k .

Note that : it's a scheme and not a pro-scheme because the truncation maps are affine.

For all field K containing k

$$\mathcal{L}(X)(K) = X(K[[t]]).$$

Example 2.5. $X = \mathbb{C}^d$, $\mathcal{L}(X) = \{(\sum a_n^{(i)} t^n)_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \mid a_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[t]]^d$.

Example 2.6. Cusp :

- $X = \{y^2 - x^3 = 0\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is given in the infinite dimensional affine space with coordinates $(a_i), (b_i)$, by an infinite number of equations $\begin{cases} b_0^2 = a_0^3 \\ 2b_0 b_1 = 3a_0^2 a_1 \\ \dots \end{cases}$

3. ADDITIVE INVARIANTS : WHAT INTERESTS US

Let k a field, $\text{cark} = 0$.

Definition 3.1. An *additive invariant* is a map $\lambda : \text{Var}_k \rightarrow R$ where R is a ring, such that

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(X) = \lambda(Y) & \text{when } X \simeq Y \\ \lambda(X) = \lambda(F) + \lambda(X \setminus F) & \text{for } F \text{ a closed subset of } X \\ \lambda(X \times Y) = \lambda(X) \times \lambda(Y) \end{cases}$$

Example 3.2. Euler Characteristic, $k = \mathbb{C}$

$$Eu(X) := \sum_i (-1)^i \text{rank} H_c^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}).$$

Jan Denef said me, that by a Grothendieck's theorem the result is the same by using not compact support cohomology.

Example 3.3. Hodge polynomial , $k = \mathbb{C}$

$$H : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}} & \rightarrow & Z[u, v] \\ X & \mapsto & \sum_{i,p,q} (-1)^i h_{p,q}^i u^p v^q \end{array}$$

where $h_{p,q}^i$ is the dimension of $H_c^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})^{p,q}$, the (p, q) -part of the mixed Hodge structure of Deligne on $H_c^i(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$.

4. GROTHENDIECK RINGS : VALUES OF THE MEASURE

There exists an universal additive invariant

$$[-] : \text{Var}_k \rightarrow K_0(\text{Var}_k)$$

such that for all additive invariant $\lambda : \text{Var}_k \rightarrow R$, there exists a unique ring morphism $\tilde{\lambda} : K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow R$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Var}_k & \xrightarrow{[-]} & K_0(\text{Var}_k) \\ \lambda \downarrow & \swarrow \tilde{\lambda} & \\ R & & \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Construction of $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$: It's a ring with the presentation :

- generators : isomorphism classes $[S]$, $S \in \text{Var}_k$

• relations :

- $[S] = [S'] + [S \setminus S']$ for all S' closed subset of S
- $[S \times S'] = [S].[S']$.

Remark 4.1. If $[X] = [X']$ then $\lambda(X) = \lambda(X')$, for all additive invariant λ . For instance, same Euler characteristic and same Hodge-Deligne polynomial. In particular, same Hodge numbers and thus same Betti numbers.

We denote by \mathbb{L} the class of the affine line $[\mathbb{A}^1]$. In the following, we will use $\mathcal{M}_k := K_0(\text{Var}_k)[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$ the localisation of $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ with respect to \mathbb{L} .

Remark 4.2. Some remarks :

- Poonen proved that $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ is not a domain, for k a field with $\text{car } k = 0$.
 - In the same way, \mathcal{M}_k is not a domain.
 - It's not known if the localisation morphism $K_0(\text{Var}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ is injective.
 - There is an alternative description of $K_0(\text{Var}_k)$ given by Bittner [2] :
 - generators : isomorphism classes $[V]$ of non-singular projective varieties
 - relations :
 - (1) $[\emptyset] = 0$
 - (2) $[\tilde{V}] - [E] = [V] - [Z]$, for (\tilde{V}, E) a blow-up of (V, Z) .
- But : it uses the weak factorisation theorem !

5. MOTIVIC MEASURE

Let k be a field, $\text{car } k = 0$.

Let X be an algebraic variety over k of pure dimension d . Let X_{sing} denote the singular locus of X .

5.1. Constructible or cylinder subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Definition 5.1. A subset $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is *constructible* or a *cylinder* if and only if $A = \pi_n^{-1}(C)$ with C a constructible subset of $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

5.2. Stable subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Definition 5.2. A subset $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is *stable* if and only if A is constructible and $A \cap \mathcal{L}(X) = \emptyset$.

Proposition 5.3. *If $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ is stable then $[\pi_n(A)]\mathbb{L}^{-nd}$ in \mathcal{M}_k stabilizes for n big enough. We denote*

$$\mu(A) := [\pi_n(A)]\mathbb{L}^{-nd}, \quad n \gg 1$$

and call it motivic measure of the stable subset A .

Proof. In the non-singular case : X smooth.

(1) By Hensel lemma :

- for all $n \geq m$, π_m^n is a locally trivial fibration for the Zariski topology with fiber $\mathbb{A}^{(m-n)d}$.
- for all n , π_n is surjective.

(2) If $E \rightarrow B$ is a locally trivial fibration for the Zariski topology with fiber F then $[E] = [F][B]$.

(1)+(2) If

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A = \pi_n^{-1}(C) & \subset & \mathcal{L}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_n(A) & & \mathcal{L}_n(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \subset & \mathcal{L}_m(X) \\ \text{constructible} & & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \pi_n \\ \pi_m^n \end{array}$$

$$\text{then } [\pi_n(A)] = \mathbb{L}^{(n-m)d}[C].$$

So for all $n \geq m$,

$$\frac{[\pi_n(A)]}{\mathbb{L}^{nd}} = \frac{[C]}{\mathbb{L}^{md}}.$$

□

Remark 5.4. If X is smooth then $\mu(\mathcal{L}(X)) = [\pi_0(\mathcal{L}(X))] = [X]$.

5.3. Non stable constructible subset of $\mathcal{L}(X)$: completion of \mathcal{M}_k ! Let $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a constructible and not stable subset. The quotient $\frac{[\pi_n(A)]}{\mathbb{L}^{nd}}$ will not always stabilize.

Example 5.5. $X = \{xy = 0\}$ show that $\frac{[\pi_n(\mathcal{L}(X))]}{\mathbb{L}^{nd}} = 2\mathbb{L} - \frac{1}{\mathbb{L}^n}$.

But the limit

$$\mu(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\pi_n(A)]}{\mathbb{L}^{nd}}$$

exists in the completed Grothendieck group $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ and it's called *motivic measure of the constructible set A*.

$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ is the completion of \mathcal{M}_k with respect to the filtration $(F^m \mathcal{M}_k)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where

$$F^m \mathcal{M}_k := \langle [S] \mathbb{L}^{-i}, i - \dim S \geq m \rangle .$$

It's a ring filtration $F^{m+1} \mathcal{M}_k \subset F^m \mathcal{M}_k$, $F^m F^n \subset F^{m+n}$ and

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k := \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{M}_k / F^m \mathcal{M}_k .$$

This yields a σ -additive measure μ on the Boolean algebra of constructible subsets then

$$\mu(\sqcup A_i) = \sum \mu(A_i) \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_k .$$

There are more generally measurable subsets of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. In particular :

- the semi-algebraic subsets of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are measurable,
- If S is a subset of X and $S \neq X$ then $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is measurable and $\mu(\mathcal{L}(S)) = 0$.

Remark 5.6. Some remarks :

- (1) The completed Grothendieck ring was introduced first by Kontsevich.
- (2) It's not known whether the canonical morphism $\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{M}}_k$ is injective or not !
- (3) Nevertheless, one can show that Euler Characteristic and Hodge polynomial factor through the image $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_k$ of \mathcal{M}_k in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k$.

5.4. Integrable function. Let $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ a measurable set and $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be a function such that all its fibers are measurable, $\mathbb{L}^{-\alpha}$ is integrable if the series

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu(A \cap \alpha^{-1}(n)) \mathbb{L}^{-n}$$

is convergent in $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_k$.

Example 5.7. If \mathcal{I} is a sheaf of ideals on X then we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_t \mathcal{I} &: \mathcal{L}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \min_{g \in \mathcal{I}_{\pi_0(\varphi)}} \text{ord}_t g(\varphi) \end{aligned} .$$

5.5. Change variable formula. This theorem is due to Kontsevich in the smooth case and Denef-Loeser for the general case.

Theoreme 5.8. *Let*

- (1) X be an algebraic variety over k , with $\dim X = d$,
- (2) Y be a smooth algebraic variety over k , with $\dim Y = d$,
- (3) $h : Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper and birational map,
- (4) $A \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$ be a constructible (also true for A semi-algebraic),
- (5) $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ be such that $\mathbb{L}^{-\alpha}$ is integrable on A ,

Then

$$\int_A \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha} d\mu_X = \int_{h^{-1}(A)} \mathbb{L}^{-\alpha \circ h - \text{ord}_t \text{Jac}_h} d\mu_Y .$$

With

- if X is non-singular, Jac_h is the ideal sheaf locally generated by the ordinary Jacobian determinant with respect to local coordinates on X and Y .

- if X is general, then the sheaf of regular differential d -forms $h^*(\Omega_X^d)$ is still a submodule of Ω_Y^d but not necessary generated by one element. Taking locally a generator ω_Y of Ω_Y^d , each $h^*(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega_X^d$ can be written as $h^*(\omega) = g_\omega \omega_Y$. We define Jac_h as the ideal sheaf generated by these g_ω .

5.6. **Comparison with p -adic integration.** We have the following comparison :

(1) The tabular :

	p -adic	motivic
integrate over	$\mathbb{Z}_p^m, \mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^m \mathbb{Z}$	$k[[t]]^m, k[[t]] = \varprojlim k[t]/(t^m)$
value rings	\mathbb{Z} $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ \mathbb{R}	$K_0(Var_k)$ \mathcal{M}_k $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$

(2) Let M be a d -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{Z}_p^m defined algebraically.

Denote $\pi_n : \mathbb{Z}_p^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_p/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_p)^m = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z})^m$.

Then $\frac{Card \pi_n(M)}{p^{nd}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is constant for n big enough and is called the *volume* $\mu_p(M)$ of M .

(3) For a singular d -dimensional subvariety Z of \mathbb{Z}_p^m one defines its *volume* as

$$\mu_p(Z) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mu_p(Z \setminus T_\varepsilon(Z_{sing}))$$

where $T_\varepsilon(Z_{sing})$ is a tubular neighborhood.

Osterlé proved : $\mu_p(Z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Card \pi_n(Z)}{p^{nd}}$.

6. PROOF'S OF KONTSEVICH THEOREM

Theorem 6.1. *Let X and Y be two Calabi-Yau manifolds. If X and Y are birationnaly equivalent then*

$$[X] = [Y] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_k \subset \hat{\mathcal{M}}_k.$$

So X and Y have the same Hodge numbers, hence same Betti numbers (=Batyrev's theorem).

Proof. Steps of the proof

- (1) By Hironaka's theorem, there exists a non singular proper complex algebraic variety Z and birationnal morphisms $h_X : Z \rightarrow X$ and $h_Y : Z \rightarrow Y$.
- (2) There exists $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ($c \neq 0$ because ω_X has no zeroes) such that

$$ch_X^* \omega_X = h_Y^* \omega_Y.$$

(3) So on $\mathcal{L}(Z)$

$$ord_t Jac_{h_X} = ord_t Jac_{h_Y}.$$

(4) Then now :

$$\begin{aligned}
[X] &= \mu_X(\mathcal{L}(X)) = \int_{\mathcal{L}(X)} 1 d\mu_X \\
&\quad \text{(smoothness)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{L}(Z)} \mathbb{L}^{-ord_t Jac_{h_X}} d\mu_Z \\
&\quad \text{(change variables formula)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{L}(Z)} \mathbb{L}^{-ord_t Jac_{h_Y}} d\mu_Z \\
&\quad \text{(CY-hypothesis)} \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{L}(Y)} 1 d\mu_Y = \mu_Y(\mathcal{L}(Y)) \\
&\quad \text{(change variables formula)} \\
&= [Y]. \\
&\quad \text{(smoothness)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Victor V. Batyrev. Birational Calabi-Yau n -folds have equal Betti numbers. In *New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996)*, volume 264 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–11. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] Franziska Bittner. On motivic zeta functions and the motivic nearby fiber. *Math. Z.*, 249(1):63–83, 2005.
- [3] Raf Cluckers and François Loeser. Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration. *Invent. Math.*, 173(1):23–121, 2008.
- [4] Jan Denef and François Loeser. Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration. *Invent. Math.*, 135(1):201–232, 1999.
- [5] Jan Denef and François Loeser. Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties. In *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, volume 201 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 327–348. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [6] Ehud Hrushovski and David Kazhdan. Integration in valued fields. In *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, volume 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 261–405. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [7] François Loeser. Seattle lectures on motivic integration. In *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2*, volume 80 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 745–784. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [8] François Loeser and Julien Sebag. Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations. *Duke Math. J.*, 119(2):315–344, 2003.
- [9] Eduard Looijenga. Motivic measures. *Astérisque*, (276):267–297, 2002. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1999/2000.
- [10] Willem Veys. Arc spaces, motivic integration and stringy invariants. In *Singularity theory and its applications*, volume 43 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 529–572. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.