

An algebraic approach to higher-order theories and rewriting

Tom Hirschowitz

Brno, August 2010



UMR 5127

Original motivation

- Understood from a talk by Fiore in Lyon:
 - ▶ will to explain variable binding by a λ -calculus limited to 2nd order;
 - ▶ complex categorical picture.
- Alternative point of view:
 - ▶ the λ -calculus perfectly explains variable binding;
 - ▶ simple categorical picture: cartesian closed categories.

Introduction

Here:

- A categorical semantics for
 - ▶ higher-order rewriting (Klop, Nipkow, Wolfram), and
 - ▶ permutation equivalence (Bruggink).
- In cartesian closed 2-categories.
- Corollary: a semantics for variable binding in cartesian closed categories.

Related work

- Cartesian closed sketches (Kinoshita, Power, Takeyama and Wells).
- Bruggink's permutation equivalence, Hilken's 2- λ -calculus, Jacobs' book.
- Capriotti's semantics for flat permutation equivalence in sesqui-categories.
- By extension, Fiore et al., my father and Maggesi.

Plan

- 1 Introduction.
- 2 The known case:
 - ▶ rewrite systems as fp 2-signatures,
 - ▶ their fp 2-categorical semantics.
- 3 Sketch of the cartesian closed case.

Types

Given a set X , let $\mathcal{L}_0(X)$ be the set of **formulas**, as generated by the grammar:

$$A, B ::= 1 \mid x \mid A \times B.$$

Proposition

This extends to a monad on Set with substitution as multiplication.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{S}_0(X) = \mathcal{L}_0(X)^* \times \mathcal{L}_0(X)$ be the set of **sequents** over X .

1-Signatures

Definition

A **1-signature** $\Sigma = (X_0, X_1)$ consists of:

- a set X_0 of **sorts**,
- a map $X_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0(X_0)$ of **operations**.

The latter amounts to a set indexed by sequents in $\mathcal{S}_0(X_0)$.

Example: combinatory logic

- One sort $X_0 = \{t\}$.
 - Three operations $X_1 = \{K, S, A\}$:
 - ▶ $K, S: 1 \rightarrow t$,
 - ▶ $A: t, t \rightarrow t$,
- i.e.,
- ▶ $K, S \mapsto ([], t)$,
 - ▶ $A \mapsto ([t, t], t)$.

Non-example: the λ -calculus

- Arity of λ : " $t^t \rightarrow t$ ".
- Not expressible by our arities.
- Workarounds do exist.

A category of 1-signatures

Consider 1-signatures $\Sigma = (X_0, X_1)$ and $\Sigma' = (Y_0, Y_1)$.

A morphism $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$ consists of:

- a map $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$,
- a map $f_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S_0(X_0) & \xrightarrow{S_0(f_0)} & S_0(Y_0)
 \end{array}$$

commute.

A category of 1-signatures

Proposition

This yields a category Sig_1 of 1-signatures.

The term monad

Given a 1-signature $\Sigma = (X_0, X_1)$, let $\mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma)$ be the 1-signature with:

- sorts X_0 ,
- operations $A_1, \dots, A_n \rightarrow A$ the terms $x_1: A_1, \dots, x_n: A_n \vdash M: A$ in the language generated by Σ .

Proposition

\mathcal{L}_1 extends to a monad on Sig_1 .

Parallel operations

For a 1-signature $\Sigma = (X_0, X_1)$, let $\Sigma_{||}$ be the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma_{||} & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 X_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}_0(X_0).
 \end{array}$$

Example: $\mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma)_{||}$ is the set of **parallel** terms over Σ .

Proposition

This extends to a functor $(-)_{||} : \text{Sig}_1 \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

Rewrite systems = 2-signatures

Definition

A **rewrite system**, or a **2-signature**, X consists of:

- a 1-signature $\Sigma = (X_0, X_1)$,
- a map $X_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma)_{||}$ of **rewrite rules**.

Definition

The **rewrite relation** generated by X is the smallest congruence generated by the rewrite rules.

A bit more general than the original definition.

Example: combinatory logic

- Remember K, S, A .
- Two rewrite rules (with usual shorthand):

$$Kxy \rightarrow x \qquad Sxyz \rightarrow xy(xz).$$

A category of 2-signatures

A morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of 2-signatures consists of

- a morphism $(f_0, f_1): (X_0, X_1) \rightarrow (Y_0, Y_1)$ of 1-signatures,
- a map $f_2: X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ making

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & Y_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{L}_1(X)_{||} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_1(f_1)_{||}} & \mathcal{L}_1(Y)_{||}
 \end{array}$$

commute.

A category of 2-signatures

Proposition

This yields a category Sig.

Reductions and permutation equivalence

- We now define a monad \mathcal{L} on Sig .
- We then use \mathcal{L} to construct adjunctions

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{L} & & \mathcal{F} \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 \text{Sig} & & \perp & & \perp & & \text{2FPCat.} \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & & \mathcal{U} & & \mathcal{V}
 \end{array}$$

- Reminiscent of multigraphs \dashv monoidal categories through multicategories.
- Though missing the language for **representable** \mathcal{L} -algebras.

Reductions and permutation equivalence

- \mathcal{L} preserves underlying 1-signature.
- The rewrite rules of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are the **reductions**, modulo **permutation equivalence**, which we now define.
- Along the way, we sketch:
 - ▶ how $\mathcal{L}(X)$ yields an fp 2-category,
 - ▶ how any fp 2-category yields an \mathcal{L} -algebra.

Reductions

First, for $(r \in X(G \vdash M, N : t))$, we have the formation rule:

$$\frac{\dots \quad \Gamma \vdash P_i : M_i \rightarrow N_i : G_i \quad \dots}{\Gamma \vdash r(P_1, \dots, P_n) : M[M_1, \dots, M_n] \rightarrow N[N_1, \dots, N_n] : A} .$$

2-categorically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Pi \Gamma & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(M_1, \dots, M_n)} \\ \Downarrow P \\ \xrightarrow{(N_1, \dots, N_n)} \end{array} & \Pi G \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{M} \\ \Downarrow r \\ \xrightarrow{N} \end{array} A, \quad \text{with } P = (P_1, \dots, P_n).$$

Reductions

There is a rule for sequential composition:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : M_1 \rightarrow M_2 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : M_2 \rightarrow M_3 : A}{\Gamma \vdash P ;_{M_2} Q : M_1 \rightarrow M_3 : A} .$$

2-categorically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_1 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \Pi G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & M_3 & \end{array}$$

$\Downarrow P$
 $\Downarrow Q$

Reductions

Plus a few other rules:

- for empty reductions, i.e., identities,
- for reductions in context, i.e., making reductions into a congruence.

Permutation equivalence

- We then quotient out reductions by **permutation equivalence**.
- Also inductively defined.
- Permutation actually happens in the rules equating

$$C \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} B \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow r \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} A, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \Downarrow \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} C \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow A$$

$$C \longrightarrow B \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \\ \Downarrow r \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} A$$

and the other way around. Namely:

$$r(P ;_{N_2} Q) \equiv M_1[P] ;_{M_1[N_2]} r(Q).$$

The monad

Theorem

This defines a functor $\mathcal{L}: \text{Sig} \rightarrow \text{Sig}$, which is a monad with substitution as multiplication.

The adjunction

We use \mathcal{L} to construct an adjunction

$$\text{Sig} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{W}} \end{array} 2\text{FPCat}$$

as the composite

$$\text{Sig} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{U}} \end{array} \mathcal{L}\text{-Alg} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} 2\text{FPCat}.$$

Soundness and completeness

Proposition

Given a 2-signature X , form the fp 2-category X^* with:

- *objects: tuples of sorts,*
- *morphisms: tuples of terms,*
- *preordered hom-categories:*
 - ▶ $X^*(M, N) = 1$ iff $M \rightarrow^* N$,
 - ▶ $X^*(M, N) = \emptyset$ otherwise.

Soundness and completeness

Theorem

For all sorts t_1, \dots, t_n, t , there is a functor

$$\mathcal{H}(X)(\prod t_i, t) \rightarrow X^*(\prod t_i, t),$$

which is full and identity-on-objects (to a preorder).

Hence:

- If $P: M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{H}(X)$ then $M \rightarrow^* N$ in the standard sense.
- Conversely.

Cartesian closedness

- Up to now: first-order rewriting.
- 2-categorical semantics known, or at least folklore.
- New presentation as far as I know.
- Now, sketch for higher-order rewriting.

2-signatures

- Types: $A, B ::= 1 \mid x \mid A \times B \mid B^A$.
- $\mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma)$: simply-typed λ -calculus on Σ .
- 2-signature: set indexed by pairs of parallel λ -terms in β -normal, η -long form.

Example

- Signature Σ : $l: t^t \rightarrow t$ $a: t \times t \rightarrow t$.
- Pure λ -terms with variables in n in bijection with

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\Sigma)(\underbrace{t, \dots, t}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow t).$$

- One rule $\beta: a(l(M^{t^t}), N^t) \rightarrow MN$, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & l \times t & \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 t^t \times t & & t \times t \\
 & \searrow & \searrow a \\
 & & t.
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \Downarrow \beta \\
 \text{ev}
 \end{array}$$

Reductions and permutations

As before, plus formation rules

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: A \vdash P : M \rightarrow N : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A. P : \lambda x: A. M \rightarrow \lambda x: A. N : B^A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : M \rightarrow M' : B^A \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : N \rightarrow N' : A}{\Gamma \vdash PQ : MN \rightarrow M'N' : B}$$

with equations for $\beta\eta$.

Soundness and completeness

From any 2-signature X , higher-order rewriting yields a reduction relation between terms on the underlying 1-signature.

Proposition

$M \rightarrow^* N$ iff there exists a reduction $P: M \rightarrow N$.

Corollary

- Cartesian closed categories are locally discrete cartesian closed

2-categories: $2\text{CCCat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{CCCat}.$

- Composing with the above: $\text{Sig} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{CCCat}.$

- Fiore and Hur's syntactic category on X is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{K}(X)$.
- More objects in $\mathcal{K}(X)$, e.g., t^{t^t} .

Uncaught

- The π -calculus (and all calculi with a **structural congruence**).
- Would need to incorporate **equations** between reductions.
- Even with that, no efficient presentation of π yet.