

## $\Delta_3^1$ REALS

René DAVID

*Département de Mathématique, Université Toulouse-le-Mirail, 109 bis, rue Vauquelin, 31058  
Toulouse Cedex, France*

Received 8 September 1982

In this paper I use the method developed in [2] to give an answer to a question of L. Harrington (see [3]).

**Theorem.** *The following theories are equiconsistent:*

- (1) ZF + *there is an inaccessible cardinal.*
- (2) ZFC + GCH +  $\forall x, y \subset \omega (y \in L(x) \rightarrow y \text{ is } \Delta_3^1(x)).$

One part of this result is trivial since in a model of (2) the cardinal  $\chi_1$  is easily seen to be inaccessible in  $L$ .

Also note that (2) is a consequence of ZFC + GCH +  $\forall x \subset \omega x^\#$  exists; the theorem shows that it is a *strict* consequence of it.

To prove the other part of the theorem I start with a model  $M_0$  of ZF +  $V = L$ . Let  $\kappa$  be the least inaccessible cardinal in  $M_0$ . I shall construct a generic extension  $N$  of  $M_0$  in which  $\kappa$  will be  $\chi_1$  and that satisfies (2).

The idea is the following: I shall add a sequence  $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  such that for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ :

- (1)  $\chi_1^{L(a_\alpha)} = \chi_{\alpha+2}^L$  and there is a  $\Delta_1^1(a_\alpha)$  code for  $\chi_{\alpha+1}^L$ ;
- (2)  $a_\alpha$  is—in  $L((a_\beta) \mid \beta < \kappa)$ — $\Delta_3^1(x)$  for every code  $x$  of  $\alpha$ .

(To ensure (2) I use the method developed in [2] to make  $a_\alpha$  ‘very absolutely’  $\Delta_3^1(x)$ , i.e.  $\Delta_3^1(x)$  even when  $\chi_1^{L(a_\alpha)}$  is collapsed.) Then in  $N = L((a_\beta) \mid \beta < \kappa)$  if  $x, y \subset \omega$  and  $\chi_1^{L(x)} = \chi_{\alpha+1}^L$  and  $y \in L(x)$ , then  $y$  is  $\Delta_3^1$  in any code for  $\alpha$  and so in  $x$ .

Now the proof is: Following [2] I can easily build a sequence  $(T(n, \alpha) \mid n \in \omega, \alpha < \kappa)$  of Suslin trees of height  $\kappa^{++}$  such that if  $s$  is a finite subset of  $\omega$  and  $\alpha \in \kappa$  and

$$T^*(s, \alpha) = \prod_{\substack{n \in \omega \\ \beta \neq \alpha}} T(n, \beta) \times \prod_{n \in s} T(n, \alpha),$$

$T^*(s, \alpha)$  being ordered componentwise, then if  $N$  is a  $T^*(s, \alpha)$  generic extension of  $M_0$ , for  $n \in s T(n, \alpha)$  remains Suslin in  $N$ .

Let  $P(n, \alpha)$  be the forcing to add a branch in  $T(n, \alpha)$  and then ‘nicely’ code it—using Jensen’s coding machinery—by a subset  $A(n, \alpha)$  of  $[\chi_{\alpha+1}, \chi_{\alpha+2}[$  in such a way that the following is true:

$\forall \beta, \gamma, \delta$  [if  $\chi_{\alpha+1} < \beta < \gamma < \delta$  and  $L_\delta(A(n, \alpha) \cap \beta)$   
 $\models \text{ZF}^- + \beta = \chi_{\alpha+2}^L + \gamma$  is the least inaccessible cardinal  
 + every set has cardinality at most  $(\gamma^+)^L$ ,  
 then when  $A(n, \alpha)$  is decoded  
 —using Jensen’s machinery  
 —a cofinal branch is found in the  
 tree  $T(n, \alpha)$  calculated in  $L_\delta$ ].

Note that, in this formula,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  need not to be the true  $\chi_{\alpha+2}$  and  $\kappa$ . In particular this is true even for  $\delta < \text{the true } \chi_{\alpha+2}$ . (Here is one of the essential tricks in [2].)

Let  $P$  be the product of the  $P(n, \alpha)$ : i.e.  $p \in P$  iff  $p$  is a function with domain  $\omega \times \kappa$  and  $\forall (n, \alpha) \in \omega \times \kappa \ p(n, \alpha) \in P(n, \alpha)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Forcing with  $P$  preserves cardinals and cofinalities.*

**Proof.** It follows from the standard methods in [4] and [2], using chain condition and distributivity. The fact that we have here a product causes no trouble: this is clear for cardinals  $> \kappa$ . Let me look at it for cardinals  $< \kappa$ . Let  $\beta < \kappa$ ; each  $P(n, \alpha)$  is the iteration of its ‘high’ part  $P_{\chi_{\beta+2}}(n, \alpha)$  and of its ‘low’ part  $P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha)$ .

Since the high part is  $\chi_{\beta+1}$ -distributive  $P$  is (isomorphic) to  $C_1 * C_2$  (i.e. forcing first with  $C_1$  and then with  $C_2$ ) where:

$$C_1 = \prod_{n, \alpha} P_{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha),$$

which is proved to be  $\chi_{\beta+1}$ -distributive as in [2], and

$$C_2 = \prod_{n, \alpha} P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha) = \prod_{\substack{n < \omega \\ \alpha \leq \beta}} P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha)$$

(the last fact comes from that for  $\alpha > \beta$   $P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha)$  is the trivial poset; remind that  $P(n, \alpha)$  codes to a subset of  $[\chi_{\alpha+1}, \chi_{\alpha+2}[$ . Now for every  $n, \alpha, \beta$  there is a function  $G: P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha) \rightarrow \chi_{\beta+1}$  such that:  $G(p) = G(q) \rightarrow p$  and  $q$  are compatible and so  $\prod_{n \in \omega, \alpha \leq \beta} P^{\chi_{\beta+1}}(n, \alpha)$  satisfies the  $\leq \chi_{\beta+1}$  chain condition.

The lemma follows then easily.  $\square$

Let  $M_1$  be a  $P$  generic extension of  $M_0$ . Note that  $M_1$  has the same subsets of  $\omega$  as  $M_0$  and that it satisfies:

$$V = L(A(n, \alpha) \mid n < \omega, \alpha < \kappa) + \kappa \text{ is inaccessible.}$$

Now work in  $M_1$ .

For  $\alpha < \kappa$  let  $Q_\alpha$  be the notion of forcing that:

(1) First collapses  $\chi_{\alpha+1}$  on  $\omega$  in the usual way; let  $g_\alpha$  be a subset of  $\omega$  of order type  $\chi_{\alpha+1}$ .

(2) Next adds a subset  $a_\alpha$  of  $\omega$  such that:

(i)  $a_\alpha$  codes—using almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega$ — $g_\alpha$  in such a way that for some function  $F$  which is arithmetic and uniform in  $\alpha$   $g_\alpha = F(a_\alpha)$ ;

(ii) if  $\{\xi < \chi_{\alpha+2}^L \mid S(n, \xi) \cap a_\alpha \text{ is finite}\} = D_n^\alpha$ , then  $D_n^\alpha = A(n, \alpha)$  if  $n = 2i$  (resp.  $2i + 1$ ) and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1$  (resp. 0) and  $D_n^\alpha = \emptyset$  if  $n = 2i$  (resp.  $2i + 1$ ) and  $a_\alpha(i) = 0$  (resp. 1) where  $(S(n, \xi) \mid n < \omega, \xi < \chi_{\alpha+2}^L)$  is—in  $L(g_\alpha)$ —a family of almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega$ .

(This coding is the second trick in [2].)

Let  $Q = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} Q_\alpha$  where  $q \in Q$  iff  $q$  is a function whose domain is a finite subset of  $\kappa$  and for  $\alpha \in \text{dom}(q)$ :  $q(\alpha) \in Q_\alpha$ .

Let  $M_2$  be a  $Q$  generic extension of  $M_1$ . It follows from usual method that the cardinals not less than  $\kappa$  are preserved and that  $\kappa$  is  $\chi_1$  in  $M_2$  (this comes from the fact that  $\text{card}(Q_\alpha) < \kappa$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ).

Let  $N$  be  $L(a_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa)$ .  $N$  is the model that satisfies (2) of the theorem. Since  $N$  satisfies:  $\exists A \subset \chi_1 \ V = L(A)$  it satisfies ZFC+GCH so it remains to show that:  $\forall x, y \subset \omega$  ( $y \in L(x) \rightarrow y$  is  $\Delta_3^1(x)$ ), is true in  $N$ ; first note that:

**Lemma 2.** *In  $N$ :*

$$\forall x \subset \omega \ \chi_1^{L(x)} < \chi_1.$$

The following is the key of the proof.

**Lemma 3.** *The following holds in  $N$ : For  $n < \omega$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $T(n, \alpha)$  is Suslin iff ( $n = 2i$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 0$ ) or ( $n = 2i + 1$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1$ ).*

**Proof.** The ‘only if’ part is trivial since, for example, if  $n = 2i$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1$   $a_\alpha$  codes  $A(n, \alpha)$  and so in  $L(a_\alpha)$  there is a branch in  $T(n, \alpha)$ .

The ‘if’ part follows—as in [2]—from the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $n < \omega$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $s$  be a finite subset of  $\omega$  such that  $n \in s$ ; there are posets  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that:*

(1)  $P * Q = (C_1 * C_2) \times C_3$  where

$$C_1 = \prod_{\substack{m \in \omega \\ \beta \neq \alpha}} P(m, \beta) \times \prod_{m \notin s} P(m, \alpha),$$

$$C_2 = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} Q_\beta \times Q_\alpha^s$$

where  $Q_\alpha^s$  is the subset of  $Q_\alpha$  such that if  $a_\alpha$  is any generic over  $Q_\alpha^s$ , then  $a_\alpha \supset s$ . (For

For  $\alpha < \kappa$  let  $Q_\alpha$  be the notion of forcing that:

(1) First collapses  $\chi_{\alpha+1}$  on  $\omega$  in the usual way; let  $g_\alpha$  be a subset of  $\omega$  of order type  $\chi_{\alpha+1}$ .

(2) Next adds a subset  $a_\alpha$  of  $\omega$  such that:

(i)  $a_\alpha$  codes—using almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega - g_\alpha$  in such a way that for some function  $F$  which is arithmetic and uniform in  $\alpha$   $g_\alpha = F(a_\alpha)$ ;

(ii) if  $\{\xi < \chi_{\alpha+2}^L \mid S(n, \xi) \cap a_\alpha \text{ is finite}\} = D_n^\alpha$ , then  $D_n^\alpha = A(n, \alpha)$  if  $n = 2i$  (resp.  $2i + 1$ ) and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1$  (resp. 0) and  $D_n^\alpha = \emptyset$  if  $n = 2i$  (resp.  $2i + 1$ ) and  $a_\alpha(i) = 0$  (resp. 1) where  $(S(n, \xi) \mid n < \omega, \xi < \chi_{\alpha+2}^L)$  is—in  $L(g_\alpha)$ —a family of almost disjoint subsets of  $\omega$ .

(This coding is the second trick in [2].)

Let  $Q = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} Q_\alpha$  where  $q \in Q$  iff  $q$  is a function whose domain is a finite subset of  $\kappa$  and for  $\alpha \in \text{dom}(q)$ :  $q(\alpha) \in Q_\alpha$ .

Let  $M_2$  be a  $Q$  generic extension of  $M_1$ . It follows from usual method that the cardinals not less than  $\kappa$  are preserved and that  $\kappa$  is  $\chi_1$  in  $M_2$  (this comes from the fact that  $\text{card}(Q_\alpha) < \kappa$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ).

Let  $N$  be  $L(a_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa)$ .  $N$  is the model that satisfies (2) of the theorem. Since  $N$  satisfies:  $\exists A \subset \chi_1 \ V = L(A)$  it satisfies ZFC+GCH so it remains to show that:  $\forall x, y \subset \omega \ (y \in L(x) \rightarrow y \text{ is } \Delta_3^1(x))$ , is true in  $N$ ; first note that:

**Lemma 2.** *In  $N$ :*

$$\forall x \subset \omega \ \chi_1^{L(x)} < \chi_1.$$

The following is the key of the proof.

**Lemma 3.** *The following holds in  $N$ : For  $n < \omega$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$ ,  $T(n, \alpha)$  is Suslin iff  $(n = 2i$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 0)$  or  $(n = 2i + 1$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1)$ .*

**Proof.** The ‘only if’ part is trivial since, for example, if  $n = 2i$  and  $a_\alpha(i) = 1$   $a_\alpha$  codes  $A(n, \alpha)$  and so in  $L(a_\alpha)$  there is a branch in  $T(n, \alpha)$ .

The ‘if’ part follows—as in [2]—from the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $n < \omega$ ,  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $s$  be a finite subset of  $\omega$  such that  $n \in s$ ; there are posets  $C_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ) such that:*

(1)  $P * Q \simeq (C_1 * C_2) \times C_3$  where

$$C_1 = \prod_{\substack{m \in \omega \\ \beta \neq \alpha}} P(m, \beta) \times \prod_{m \notin s} P(m, \alpha),$$

$$C_2 = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} Q_\beta \times Q_\alpha^s$$

where  $Q_\alpha^s$  is the subset of  $Q_\alpha$  such that if  $a_\alpha$  is any generic over  $Q_\alpha^s$ , then  $a_\alpha \supset s$ . (For

Now let  $x, y \subset \omega$  be such that  $y \in L(x)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be such that  $\chi_1^{L(x)} = \chi_{\alpha+1}^L$  (remind that  $\kappa$  is the least inaccessible in  $L$  and so the regular cardinals below  $\kappa$  are—in  $L$ —the successor ones); let  $\beta$  be such that  $L(x) \models \phi(y, \beta, x)$  that is,  $y$  is the  $\beta$ th real in  $L(x)$ ;  $\beta$  is clearly  $\Delta_1(g_\alpha)$  and so  $\Delta_1(a_\alpha)$ ; so using Lemma 7 it is enough to show:

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $x \in N$  be such that:  $\chi_1^{L(x)} = \chi_{\alpha+1}^L$ ; then the predicates: ‘ $y \in \text{WO}$  and  $|y| = \alpha$ ’ and ‘ $y \in \text{WO}$  and  $|y| = \chi_{\alpha+1}^L$ ’ are  $\Sigma_3^1(x)$ .*

**Proof.** (1)  $|y| = \alpha \leftrightarrow \exists t, z$  ( $t, z \in \text{WO}$  and  $|t| = |y|$  and  $t \in L(x)$  and  $|z| > \chi_1^{L(x)}$  and  $L_{|z|}(x) \models \chi_1 = \chi_{|t|+1}^L$  and this is  $\Sigma_3^1(x)$  since  $L_{|z|}(x) \models \psi(t)$  is  $\Sigma_2^1(x, z, t)$  and  $|z| = \chi_1^{L(x)}$  (and then  $|z| > \chi_1^{L(x)}$  too) is  $\Sigma_3^1(x, z)$ ).

(2) is as (1), replacing  $\chi_1 = \chi_{|t|+1}^L$  by  $\chi_1 = |t|$ .  $\square$

This achieves the proof of the theorem.

## References

- [1] A. Beller, R.B. Jensen and P. Welch, Coding the Universe (Cambridge University Press, London, 1981).
- [2] R. David, A very absolute  $\Pi_2^1$  real singleton, Ann. Math. Logic 23 (1982) 101–120. [This issue.]
- [3] H. Friedman, 102 problems in Mathematical Logic, J. Symbolic Logic 40 (2) (1975).
- [4] R.B. Jensen, Coding the universe by a real, Notes (1975).
- [5] R.B. Jensen and R. Solovay, Some applications of almost disjoint sets, in: Y. Bar Hillel, ed., Mathematical Logic and Foundation of Set Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970).